

# Classification of $G$ -invariant configurations of Einstein-Cartan theory on a multidimensional universe

GERD RUDOLPH

Sektion Physik und Naturwissenschaftlich-Theoretisches Zentrum  
der Karl-Marx-Universität Leipzig,  
7010 Leipzig, Karl-Marx-Platz 10/11, DDR

**Abstract.**  $G$ -invariant configurations  $(\tau, \gamma)$  of Einstein-Cartan theory on a multidimensional universe  $M$  are shown to be in 1-1-correspondence with a quintuplet  $(\tilde{\tau}, \xi, \hat{\alpha}, \phi, \Psi)$  of geometrical objects living on bundles over physical spacetime  $\tilde{M} = M/G$ . Moreover, explicit formulae for  $\tau$  are given in terms of classifying objects.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

During the last years a lot of work on dimensional reduction of field theories has been done. At one hand dimensional reduction of pure gauge theories has been investigated see, [1], [2], [3], [4] and references therein. On the other hand Kaluza-Klein theories (dimensional reduction of gravity) have been extensively studied, both from a more intuitive and from a mathematical (geometrical) point of view, for the latter see [5], [6] and references therein. In both cases the starting point is the same. One has a multidimensional universe  $M$  with a symmetry group  $G$  acting on  $M$  in a sufficiently regular way and considers a field theory on  $M$ , whose configurations are supposed to be  $G$ -invariant. Then one has to solve two problems:

1. Classification of  $G$ -invariant configurations,
2. Reduction of the action – due to  $G$ -invariance – to an action on physical

---

*Key-Words:* Kaluza Klein theories, Einstein Cartan theory.

*1980 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 83 E 15, 53 C 80.

space time  $\tilde{M} = M/G$ .

Doing this one obtains interesting unification schemes in both above mentioned cases. Obviously, one can also combine the two cases and consider Einstein-Yang-Mills systems [7].

In this paper we start to investigate dimensional reduction of a generalized gravitational theory, namely Einstein-Cartan theory. For an excellent analysis of this theory see [8]. As far as we know, up to now only special cases of theories of this type have been studied within the dimensional reduction scheme, see for example [9]. Thus, the main point of this paper is to give a full classification of  $G$ -invariant configurations of Einstein-Cartan theory on a multidimensional universe.

A second point is the following: In the geometrical approach to Kaluza-Klein theories as developed in [5] one has a clear understanding of the structures one deals with, but, finally, all calculations are done using local  $n$ -bein-techniques. In this paper we show that similar techniques as developed in [3] for gauge theories can be used here, and that all local considerations can be avoided. For that purpose we use the gauge theoretical formulation of gravity in the spirit of [10].

## 2. EINSTEIN-CARTAN THEORY ON A MULTIDIMENSIONAL UNIVERSE

Let  $M$  be a  $n$ -dimensional manifold and  $G$  a compact, connected Lie group acting differentiably to the left on  $M$ :

$$(1.1) \quad M \times G \ni (x, g) \longrightarrow \delta(x, g) \in M.$$

For clearness of presentation we assume  $G$  to act without fix point, thus  $M(\tilde{M}, G)$  is a principal bundle over the orbit space  $\tilde{M} = M/G$  with typical fibre  $G$ , (the right action of  $G$  on  $M$  is defined by  $\tilde{\delta}_g := \delta_{g^{-1}}$ ).

The generalization to the case when the typical fibre is a homogeneous space is straightforward.

A configuration of Einstein-Cartan theory on  $M$  is a pair  $(\tau, \gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is a (pseudo)-Riemannian metric on  $M$  and  $\tau$  is a linear connection on  $M$  compatible with  $\gamma$ :

$$(1.2) \quad D\gamma = 0.$$

We will treat  $\tau$  as a connection form in the reperf bundle  $LM$  and  $\gamma$  as a  $GL(n, R)$ -equivariant mapping

$$(1.3) \quad \gamma : LM \longrightarrow (R^n)^* \otimes (R^n)^*.$$

It is well known that the action of  $G$  lifts naturally to the bundle space  $LM$ . We denote this lift by

$$(1.4) \quad LM \times G \ni (e, g) \longrightarrow \sigma(e, g) \in LM,$$

with  $\sigma_g \in \text{Aut}(LM)$ ,  $\sigma_g(e) \equiv \sigma(e, g)$ .

Now we can define what we mean by a  $G$ -invariant configuration:

$$(1.5a) \quad \sigma_g^* \tau = \tau,$$

$$(1.5b) \quad \sigma_g^* \gamma = \gamma.$$

Our aim is to classify pairs  $(\tau, \gamma)$  satisfying (1.2) and (1.5).

### 3. BUNDLE REDUCTIONS AND SPLITTINGS

Suppose we are given a configuration  $(\tau, \gamma)$  satisfying (1.2) and (1.5).

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *There is a sequence of bundle reductions defined by  $\gamma$  and the action of  $G$ :*

$$(2.1) \quad LM \longrightarrow OM \longrightarrow \widetilde{OM} \longrightarrow \widehat{OM},$$

where  $OM$  is the bundle of orthonormal frames over  $M$ ,  $\widetilde{OM}$  a principal bundle with typical fibre  $O(m) \times O(n-m)$  over  $M$  and  $\widehat{OM}$  a principal bundle with typical fibre  $O(m)$  over  $M$ .

*Proof.* The first reduction is standard [11], [12]:

$$(2.2) \quad OM := \{e \in LM : \gamma(e) = \eta\},$$

where  $\eta \in (R^n)^* \otimes (R^n)^*$  is in the standard basis of  $R^n$  given by  $\eta = \text{diag}(-1, +1, \dots, +1)$ . The second reduction is defined by a splitting of the tangent bundle:

$$(2.3) \quad TM = V \oplus H,$$

where  $V$  is the canonical vertical distribution defined by the right group action,

$$(2.4) \quad V_x := \widetilde{\delta}'_x(\mathfrak{G}), \quad x \in M,$$

$\mathfrak{G}$ -Lie algebra of  $G$ , and  $H$  is the to  $V$  with respect to  $\gamma$  orthogonal complement. Splitting (2.3) is a section of the associated bundle  $E = OM \times_{O(m)} G_{m,n}$ , with  $G_{m,n} = O(n)/(O(m) \times O(n-m))$  being the space of orthogonal with respect to  $\eta$  decompositions of  $R^n$ . Treating this section as an equivariant mapping  $\zeta : OM \longrightarrow G_{m,n}$  and fixing one decomposition  $\zeta_0$ , given by

$$(2.5a) \quad R^n = R^m \oplus R^{n-m},$$

we put

$$(2.5b) \quad \widetilde{OM} := \{e \in OM : \zeta(e) = \zeta_0\}.$$

(For simplicity of notation we write  $O(n)$  and  $O(m)$  instead of  $O(n-1, 1)$  and  $O(m-1, 1)$ !).

The last reduction is not essential, but convenient. First notice that the canonical projection  $O(m) \times O(n-m) \rightarrow O(n-m)$  induces a surjective bundle homomorphism

$$(2.6) \quad f : \widetilde{OM}(O(m) \times O(n-m), M) \rightarrow \widetilde{\widetilde{OM}}(O(n-m), M).$$

Now fix a basis  $(\hat{\epsilon}_a)$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ , take the corresponding fundamental vector fields  $(\epsilon_a)_x := \tilde{\delta}'_x(\hat{\epsilon}_a)$  and perform a standard orthonormalization procedure. The result is a section  $s$  in  $\widetilde{\widetilde{OM}}$ . We put

$$(2.7) \quad \widehat{OM} := \{e \in \widetilde{OM} : f(e) = s(\rho(e))\},$$

where  $\rho$  is the canonical projection in  $\widetilde{OM}$ . ■

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *There is a natural splitting*

$$(2.8) \quad T\widehat{OM} = \widehat{V} \oplus \widehat{H},$$

induced by  $\gamma$  and by the action of  $G$  on  $\widehat{OM}$ .

*Proof.* First notice that  $\widehat{OM}$  is  $G$ -invariant, and, therefore, it is a principal  $G$ -bundle over  $\widetilde{OM}$ , the bundle of orthonormal (with respect to  $\tilde{\gamma}$  – the metric induced by the  $G$ -invariant metric  $\gamma$  on  $\widetilde{M}$ ) frames on  $\widetilde{M}$ . The free, right action of  $G$  on  $\widehat{OM}$  is defined by  $\tilde{\sigma}_g := \sigma_{g^{-1}}$ . (We denote the restriction of group actions to subbundles by the same letter). Since  $\sigma$  is the lift of  $\delta$  we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{OM} & \xrightarrow{x_1} & \widetilde{OM} \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow x_2 \\ M & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \widetilde{M} \end{array}$$

Now we put

$$(2.10) \quad \widehat{V}_e := \tilde{\sigma}'_e(\mathfrak{G})$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \widehat{H}_e := (\pi'_1)^{-1}(H_{\pi_1(e)}).$$

Obviously,  $\widehat{V}$  and  $\widehat{H}$  are differentiable distributions spanning  $T\widehat{OM}$ . ■

COROLLARY 3.1. *The splitting (2.8) defines a G-connection in the bundle  $\widehat{OM} \rightarrow \widetilde{OM}$ .*

*Proof.* Obvious. ■

We denote the corresponding G-connection form on  $\widehat{OM}$  by  $\xi$  and observe that  $\xi$  is the pull-back under  $\pi_1$  of the G-connection form defined by the splitting (2.3).

#### 4. CLASSIFICATION OF G-INVARIANT CONFIGURATIONS

For a given pair  $(\tau, \gamma)$  satisfying (1.2) and (1.5) we have the sequence of reductions (2.1) and the G-connection (2.8). It is known that a linear connection on  $LM$  is reducible to  $OM$  if and only if (1.2) is fulfilled [12].

Restricted to  $OM$  (1.2) just means that  $\tau$  is  $o(n)$ -valued. Thus,  $\tau$  fulfilling (1.2) is completely given by its values on  $OM$ , and – obviously – also by its values on the subbundle  $\widehat{OM}$ .

On the other hand  $\gamma$  is constant on  $OM$ , so that the classification problem is now reduced to characterizing the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $\widehat{OM}$ . For that purpose we use the decomposition [11]

$$(3.1) \quad \tau = \omega + \alpha,$$

where  $\omega$  is the Levi-Civita connection form corresponding to  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  is a tensorial 1-form of type  $\text{Ad}(Gl(n, R))$  with values in  $gl(n, R)$ . We shall denote the restrictions of  $\omega$  and  $\alpha$  to  $\widehat{OM}$  by the same letters. Notice that (1.2) and (1.5) imply

$$(3.2a) \quad \sigma_g^* \omega = \omega,$$

$$(3.2b) \quad \sigma_g^* \alpha = \alpha.$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The 1-form  $\alpha$  is completely characterized by*

1. *A Mapping*

$$(3.3a) \quad \widehat{OM} \ni e \rightarrow \Psi(e) \in \mathfrak{G}^* \otimes o(n),$$

*satisfying*

$$(3.3b) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_g^* \Psi = \text{Ad}' g^{-1}(\Psi),$$

*where  $\text{Ad}'$  denotes the coadjoint representation of  $G$  in  $\mathfrak{G}^*$ .*

2. *A  $o(n)$ -valued 1-form on  $\widetilde{OM}$*

$$(3.4) \quad T_e \widetilde{OM} \ni X \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}_e(X) \in o(n).$$

*Proof.*  $\alpha$  is completely characterized by its values on  $\widehat{OM}$ . We decompose  $\alpha$  on  $\widehat{OM}$  due to (2.8) and put:

$$(3.5) \quad \Psi(e)(A) := (\alpha_{\widehat{p}})_e(\widetilde{\sigma}'_e(A)), \quad A \in \mathfrak{G},$$

$$(3.6) \quad \widetilde{\alpha}_{X_1(e)}(X) := (\alpha_{\widehat{H}})_e(X^{\widehat{H}}),$$

where  $X^{\widehat{H}}$  is the horizontal with respect to  $\xi$  lift of  $X \in T_e O\widehat{M}$ . To prove (3.3b) we use the  $G$ -invariance (3.2b) of  $\alpha$  and the fact that

$$(3.7) \quad \widetilde{\sigma}'_{\widetilde{\sigma}_g(e)} = \widetilde{\sigma}'_g \circ \widetilde{\sigma}'_e \circ \text{Ad } g,$$

which can be easily verified. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\widetilde{\sigma}_g(e)}(A) &= (\alpha_{\widehat{p}})_{\widetilde{\sigma}_g(e)}(\widetilde{\sigma}'_{\widetilde{\sigma}_g(e)}(A)) = \\ &= (\alpha_{\widehat{p}})_{\widetilde{\sigma}_g(e)}(\widetilde{\sigma}'_g \circ \sigma'_e \circ \text{Ad } g(A)) = \\ &= (\widetilde{\sigma}_g^* \alpha_{\widehat{p}})_e(\widetilde{\sigma}'_e \circ \text{Ad } g(A)) = \\ &= \Psi_e(\text{Ad } g(A)). \end{aligned}$$

We are left with proving that definition (3.6) is correct. But this is a simple consequence of (3.2b).  $\blacksquare$

It remains to analyze  $\omega$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *On  $OM$  we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \vartheta(Z), \omega(Y) \vartheta(X) \rangle &= \\ &= -\langle \vartheta(Y), d\vartheta(Z, X) \rangle + \langle \vartheta(Z), d\vartheta(X, Y) \rangle + \langle \vartheta(X), d\vartheta(Y, Z) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X, Y, Z \in TOM$ ,  $\vartheta$  is the canonical 1-form [11] on  $OM$  and  $\langle, \rangle$  is the scalar product on  $R^n$  given by  $\eta$ .

*Proof.* On  $OM$   $\omega$  is completely determined by the equation  $d\vartheta + \omega \wedge \vartheta = 0$ , (vanishing of torsion).

(3.8) is obtained by solving this equation with respect to  $\omega$  – a standard procedure which we omit here.  $\blacksquare$

Formula (3.8) says that  $\omega$  is completely determined by  $\vartheta$  and  $d\vartheta$ . For  $\vartheta$  we have:

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *The soldering form  $\vartheta$  is on  $\widehat{OM}$  completely characterized by:*

1. A mapping

$$(3.9a) \quad \widehat{OM} \ni e \longrightarrow \phi(e) \in \mathfrak{G}^* \otimes R^{n-m},$$

satisfying

$$(3.9b) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_g^* \phi = \text{Ad}' g^{-1}(\phi).$$

2. The soldering form  $\tilde{\vartheta}$  on  $\widehat{OM}$ , with

$$(3.9c) \quad \vartheta_{\hat{H}} = x_1^* \tilde{\vartheta}.$$

*Proof.*  $\vartheta$  is completely characterized by its values on  $\widehat{OM}$ . Due to (2.8) we put:

$$(3.10) \quad \phi(e)(A) := (\vartheta_{\hat{v}})_e(\tilde{\sigma}'_e(A)), \quad A \in \mathfrak{G},$$

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{\vartheta}_{\hat{e}}(X) := (\vartheta_{\hat{H}})_e(X^{\hat{H}}),$$

where again  $X^{\hat{H}}$  is the horizontal with respect to  $\xi$  lift of  $X$ .

Using the definition of  $\vartheta$  and the fact that  $e$  is an adapted frame we have:

$$\phi(e)(A) = e^{-1} \circ \pi'_1 \circ \tilde{\sigma}'_e(A) = e^{-1} \circ \tilde{\delta}'_{\pi_1(e)}(A) \in R^{n-m}.$$

Formula (3.9b) is shown in the same way as (3.3b). Definition (3.11) is correct because  $\vartheta$  is  $G$ -invariant. ( $\vartheta$  is invariant under natural lifts of arbitrary diffeomorphisms of  $M$ !).

It remains to show that  $\tilde{\vartheta}$  coincides with the soldering form on  $\widehat{OM}$ . Treating  $e$  and  $\tilde{e}$  as mappings [11],  $e : R^n \rightarrow T_{\pi_1(e)}M$ ,  $\tilde{e} : R^m \rightarrow T_{x_2(e)}\tilde{M}$ , we have  $e^{-1} \uparrow_H = \tilde{e}^{-1} \circ \pi'_2$ .

Using this and  $x'_2 \circ x'_1 = \pi'_2 \circ \pi'_1$ , see (2.9), we have  $\tilde{\vartheta}_{\hat{e}}(x'_1(X)) = \tilde{e}^{-1} \circ x'_2 \circ x'_1(X) = e^{-1} \circ \pi'_1(X) = (\vartheta_{\hat{H}})_e(X)$ , for  $X \in \hat{H}$ . ■

Now we want to calculate  $\omega$  on  $\widehat{OM}$ . For that purpose we use (2.8) and the following natural – with respect to (2.5a) – decomposition of the Lie algebra  $o(n)$ :

$$(3.12a) \quad o(n) = o(m) \oplus o(n-m) \oplus \mathfrak{M},$$

where

$$(3.12b) \quad \mathfrak{M} := \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} O & A \\ \hline -A^T & O \end{array} \right]; A \in L(R^m, R^{n-m}) \right\}.$$

Being  $o(n)$ -valued  $\omega$  has three components,  $\omega^1 \equiv \omega^{o(m)}$ ,  $\omega^2 \equiv \omega^{o(n-m)}$  and  $\omega^3 \equiv \omega^{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Moreover, we will use the following:

LEMMA 4.1. *There exist canonical isomorphisms*

$$(3.13a) \quad i_e : (\hat{H}'_e)^* \longrightarrow (R^m)^*,$$

$$(3.13b) \quad j_e : \hat{\wedge}(\hat{H}'_e)^* \longrightarrow o(m),$$

where  $(\hat{H}'_e)^*$  is the vector space dual to  $\hat{H}'_e = \hat{H}_e / \ker \pi'_1$ .

*Proof.* There exists a canonical (horizontal with respect to the Levi-Civita connection  $\tilde{\omega}$  on  $O\tilde{M}$ ) vector field  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$  (with values in  $(R^m)^*$ ) on  $O\tilde{M}$ , such that  $\tilde{\vartheta}(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) = \text{id}_{L(R^m, R^m)}$ .

This field defines an isomorphism of vector space:

$$(3.14) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{z}} : (\tilde{H}_e)^* \longrightarrow (R^m)^*,$$

where  $\tilde{H}_e$  is the horizontal with respect to  $\tilde{\omega}$  subspace of  $T_e O\tilde{M}$ . Taking the horizontal (with respect to  $\xi$ ) lift of  $\tilde{H}_e$  to  $\hat{H}_e$ , we get an isomorphism  $\tilde{H}_e = \hat{H}'_e$  which implies  $(\tilde{H}_e)^* \cong (\hat{H}'_e)^*$ . Combining this with (3.14), we get the isomorphism  $i$ . (3.13b) is obtained by taking  $j := \tilde{\eta}^{-1} \circ \hat{\wedge}^2 i$ ,  $\tilde{\eta} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$  being the Minkowski metric on  $R^m$ . ■

PROPOSITION 4.4. *The decomposition due to (2.8) of the  $o(m)$ -component  $\omega^1$  yields:*

$$(3.15) \quad 1. \quad \omega_{\hat{H}}^1 = x_1^* \tilde{\omega} \in \hat{H}^* \otimes o(m),$$

with  $\tilde{\omega}$  being the Levi-Civita connection on  $O\tilde{M}$ .

$$(3.16) \quad 2. \quad \tilde{\sigma}^* \omega_{\hat{V}}^1 = -(\phi^* \eta) \circ j(\Xi) \in \hat{\wedge}^0 O\tilde{M} \otimes o(m) \otimes \mathfrak{G}^*,$$

where  $\phi^* \eta : \mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}^*$  is the by  $\phi$  induced scalar product on  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\Xi$  is the curvature form of  $\xi$ .

*Proof.* The first point is obvious because of (3.9c). Using the known fact [11] that

$$(3.17) \quad \text{ver} [Z, X]_e = -2 \tilde{\sigma}'_e(\Xi(Z, X)),$$

where  $Z, X \in \hat{H}$  and  $\text{ver}(\cdot)$  means the vertical component with respect to  $\xi$ , formula (3.8) gives immediately:

$$(3.18) \quad \langle \vartheta_{\hat{H}}(Z), \omega_{\hat{V}}^1(Y) \vartheta_{\hat{H}}(X) \rangle = -\langle \vartheta_{\hat{V}}(Y), \phi(\Xi(Z, X)) \rangle, Y \in \hat{V}.$$

Inserting

$$\Xi(Z, X) = \Xi(i^* \circ \vartheta_{\hat{H}}(Z), i^* \circ \vartheta_{\hat{H}}(X)) = \langle \vartheta_{\hat{H}}(Z), j(\Xi) \vartheta_{\hat{H}}(X) \rangle$$



into (3.17) gives (3.16). ■

PROPOSITION 4.5. *The decomposition of  $\omega^2$  yields:*

$$(3.19) \quad 1. \quad \omega_{\hat{H}}^2 = -1/2 (D\phi \circ \phi^{-1} - (D\phi \circ \phi^{-1})^T) \in \hat{H}^* \otimes o(n-m),$$

where  $D\phi = d\phi + \text{ad}'(\xi)\phi$ , with  $\text{ad}'$  being the coadjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{G}$  in  $\mathfrak{G}^*$ .

$$(3.20) \quad 2. \quad \begin{aligned} & \phi^*\eta \circ (\phi^{-1} \circ \tilde{\sigma}^*\omega_{\hat{V}}^2 \circ \phi) = -1/2 \{ \phi^*\eta \circ \text{ad} - \\ & - (\phi^*\eta \circ \text{ad})^{T_{13}+} + (\phi^*\eta \circ \text{ad})^{T_{12}} \} \in \wedge^0 \widehat{OM} \otimes \mathfrak{G}^* \otimes \mathfrak{G}^* \otimes \mathfrak{G}^*, \end{aligned}$$

where for  $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\phi^*\eta \circ \text{ad}(A, B, C) = \langle \phi(A), \phi([B, C]) \rangle$  and  $(\phi^*\eta \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \tilde{\sigma}^*\omega_{\hat{V}}^2 \circ \phi)(A, B, C) = \langle \phi(A), \tilde{\sigma}^*\omega_{\hat{V}}^2(B)\phi(C) \rangle$ ,  $T_{ij}$  are transpositions in the tensor product  $\mathfrak{G}^* \otimes \mathfrak{G}^* \otimes \mathfrak{G}^*$ .

*Proof.* 1. Taking  $Y \in \hat{H}$  and  $X, Z \in \hat{V}$ , generated by  $A, B \in \mathfrak{G}$ , we get from (3.8):

$$(3.21) \quad \langle \phi(B), \omega_{\hat{H}}^2(Y)\phi(A) \rangle = -1/2 \langle \phi(B), Y(\phi(A)) \rangle + 1/2 \langle \phi(A), Y(\phi(B)) \rangle$$

But  $Y(\phi(A)) = Y(\phi)(A) = D\phi(Y)(A)$ , by the definition of the covariant derivative [11]. Inserting this into (3.21) gives (3.19).

2. For  $X, Z, Y \in \hat{V}$ , generated by  $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{G}$ , we have from (3.8)

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \phi(B), \tilde{\sigma}^*\omega_{\hat{V}}^2(C)\phi(A) \rangle = \\ & = -1/2 \{ \langle \phi(B), Y(\phi(A)) \rangle - \langle \phi(A), Y(\phi(B)) \rangle - \langle \phi(C), X(\phi(B)) \rangle \}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\phi(A))_e &= \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0} \phi(\tilde{\sigma}_{\exp tC}(e))(A) = \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0} \phi_e(\text{Ad}(\exp tC)(A)) = \\ &= \text{ad}'C(\phi)(A). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (3.22) gives (3.20). ■

*Remark 4.1.* The right-hand-side of (3.20) is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric on  $G$  induced by the scalar product  $\phi^*\eta$  on  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

Of course, in the general case those connections will be different for each orbit of  $G$  on  $M$ .

PROPOSITION 4.6. *The decomposition of  $\omega^3$  yields:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.23) \quad 1. \quad \omega_{\hat{H}}^3 &= -\phi \circ (i \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Xi \in \hat{H}^* \otimes (R^n)^* \otimes R^{n-m}, \\
2. \quad \tilde{\sigma}^* \omega_{\hat{V}}^3 &= 1/2 \{i \circ D\phi \circ \phi^{-1} + (i \circ D\phi \circ \phi^{-1})^T\} \circ \\
(3.24) \quad &\circ \phi \in \hat{\wedge}^0 \widehat{OM} \otimes (R^m)^* \otimes R^m.
\end{aligned}$$

(In fact we have written down only the  $L(R^m, R^{n-m})$ -component of  $\omega^3$ , see (3.12b)).

*Proof.* 1. Taking  $X, Y \in \hat{H}$  and  $Z \in \hat{V}$ , generated by  $A \in \mathfrak{G}$ , we get from (3.8)

$$(3.25) \quad \langle \phi(A), \omega_{\hat{H}}^3(Y) \vartheta_{\hat{H}}(X) \rangle = -1/2 \langle \phi(A), \vartheta_{\hat{V}}([X, Y]) \rangle.$$

But  $\vartheta_{\hat{V}}([X, Y]) = \phi((i \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Xi(\vartheta_{\hat{H}}(X), Y))$ .

2. Taking  $X \in \hat{H}$ ,  $Y, Z \in \hat{V}$ , generated by  $B, A \in \mathfrak{G}$ , we have from (3.8):

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi(A), \tilde{\sigma}^* \omega_{\hat{V}}^3(B) \vartheta_H(X) \rangle &= 1/2 \{ \langle \phi(A), D\phi(X)(B) \rangle + \\
&+ \langle \phi(B), D\phi(X)(A) \rangle \}.
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain from this (3.24) we use

$$D\phi(X)(A) = D\phi(i^* \vartheta_{\hat{H}}(X))(A) = (i \circ D\phi)(A) \vartheta_H(X). \quad \blacksquare$$

Propositions 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 give explicit formulae for the Levi-Civita-connection-part of  $\tau$ , which will be necessary for investigating field dynamics. Moreover, summarizing Cor. 3.1, Propositions 4.1, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 we obtain the

**CLASSIFICATION THEOREM.** *A  $G$ -invariant Einstein-Cartan configuration  $(\tau, \gamma)$  is in 1-1-correspondence with a quintuplet of geometrical objects  $(\tilde{\tau}, \xi, \hat{\alpha}, \phi, \Psi)$ , where  $\tilde{\tau}$  is the induced Einstein-Cartan configuration on  $\widehat{OM}$ ,  $\xi$  a  $G$ -principal connection in  $\widehat{OM} \rightarrow O\tilde{M}$ ,  $\hat{\alpha} \in \hat{\wedge}^0 \widehat{OM} \otimes (\mathfrak{o}(n-m) \oplus \mathfrak{m})$  and  $\phi$  and  $\Psi$  are vector-space valued,  $G$ -equivariant functions on  $\widehat{OM}$  defined by (3.10) and (3.5).*

*Proof.* The only point which remained to show is how  $\tilde{\tau}$  is obtained. We decompose  $\tilde{\alpha}$  (see (3.4)) due to (3.12a) and put:  $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\alpha}^{o(m)}$ . The remaining two components of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  give  $\hat{\alpha}$ .  $\blacksquare$

*Remark 4.2.* A priori classifying configurations of two different  $G$ -invariant configurations are living on different reduced bundles, because every  $\gamma$  gives an individual  $\widehat{OM}$ . However, we may distinguish one, say  $(\tau_0, \gamma_0)$ , and – by a vertical automorphism  $\beta$  of  $LM$  – relate every configuration  $(\tau, \gamma)$  to  $\gamma_0$  by taking the gauge-equivalent configuration  $(\tau', \gamma_0)$ , with  $\tau' = \beta^* \tau$ .

In that way all  $G$ -invariant configurations will be classified in terms of objects

living on the same reduced bundle  $\widehat{OM}$  (resp.  $\widetilde{OM}$ ) defined by  $\gamma_0$ .

In a next paper we shall analyze torsion and curvature of  $G$ -invariant Einstein-Cartan configurations and discuss dynamical aspects.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. FORGACS and N.S. MANTON: *Space time symmetries in gauge theories*, Comm. Math. Phys., 72, 15 - 34, (1980).
- [2] I. HARNAD, S. SHNIDER and J. TAFEL: *Group actions on principal bundles and dimensional reduction*, Lett. Math. Phys., 4, 107 - 113, (1980).
- [3] G. RUDOLPH and I. VOLOBUJEV: *Geometrical approach to dimensional reduction of symmetric gauge fields*, Theor. Math. Phys., 62, 3, 388 - 399 (1985).
- [4] A. JADCZYK and K. PILCH: *Geometry of gauge fields on a multidimensional universe*, Lett. Math. Phys. 8, 97 - 104, (1984).
- [5] A. JADCZYK and R. COQUERAUX: *Geometry of multidimensional universes*, Comm. Math. Phys. 90, 97 - 100, (1983).
- [6] A. JADCZYK: *Symmetry of Einstein-Yang-Mills systems and dimensional reduction*, J. Geom. Phys. 1, 97 - 104, (1984).
- [7] A. JADCZYK and R. COQUERAUX: *Symmetries of Einstein-Yang-Mills fields and dimensional reduction*, Comm. Math. Phys. 98, 79 - 104, (1985).
- [8] A. TRAUTMAN: *On the structure of the Einstein-Cartan equations*, Ist. Nazionale di Alta Matem., Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XII, 139 - 162, (1973).
- [9] YONG-SHI WU and A. ZEE: *Massless fermions and Kaluza-Klein theory with torsion*, J. Math. Phys. 25, 9, 2696 - 2703, (1984).
- [10] A. TRAUTMAN: *Fiber bundles, gauge fields, and gravitation*, Gen. Relativity and Grav., Vol. 1, 287 - 308, (1980).
- [11] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU: *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Interscience, New York, (Vol. I, 1963; Vol. II, 1969).
- [12] R. SULANKE and P. WINTGEN: *Differentialgeometrie und Faserbündel*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1972.
- [13] L.B. HUDSON and R. KANTOWSKI: *Higgs fields from symmetric connections – the bundle picture*, J. Math. Phys. 25 (10), 3094 - 3104 (1984).
- [14] R. KERNER: *Multiple fiber bundles and gauge theories of higher order*, J. Math. Phys. 24 (2), 356 - 360 (1983).
- [15] L. NIKOLOVA and V.A. RIZOV: *The Role of a Vector-Valued Field in Multidimensional Universe Geometry*, Lett. Math. Phys. 10, 315 - 323 (1985).
- [16] G. CHAPLINE and N.S. MANTON: *The geometrical significance of certain Higgs potentials*, Nucl. Phys. B 194, 319 - 405 (1981).
- [17] M. CHAICHIAN, A.P. DEMICHEV, N.F. NELIPA and A.YU. RODIONOV: *Geometrical method of spontaneous symmetry breaking in terms of higher dimensional fiber bundles and the standard model of electroweak interactions*, Nucl. Phys. B 279, 452 - 464 (1987).

*Manuscript received: December 11, 1986.*